Single Bench

(1) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.(S) NO. 6134 /2006

PETITIONER

Bhupendra Kumar Markam Aged about 18 years S/o Chetan Singh Markam R/o Village Bhumka P.O.- Berar Bazar Tahsil Nagri District Dhamtari (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur

2. The Chief Conservator of Forest State of Chattisgarh Raipur District Raipur

3. Conservator of forest Kanker Circle, Kanker District Kander (CG)

4. Divisional Officer West Forest Division Bhanupratappur Dist. Kanker (CG)

P.R. No. 617/06
Presented by Shri N.P. Kashyap
dated 7-11-06

RECEIVED-COPY
7/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARY AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS :-

1 PARTICULARS OF

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(59)

4

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP (S) 6134/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

9-11-2006

Shri Vishnu Koshta, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Ajay Dwivedi, learned Panel Lawyer for the State.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that after death of Chetan Singh Markam, a Government employee, his elder son Santosh Kumar Markam, who was adult, filed an application for compassionate appointment and that application is still pending with the respondent No.4. Again his younger brother Bhupendra Kumar Markam, petitioner herein, filed an application for compassionate appointment and that was rejected by the Department on the ground of his minority.

The order passed by respondent No.4 on the application of the petitioner does not appear to be arbitrary or without jurisdiction, therefore, so far as petitioner Bhupendra Singh Markam is concerned, the impugned order does not call for any interference, however, the application filed by his elder brother namely Santosh Kumar Markam, if it is pending for consideration, it may be considered and decided in accordance with guidelines, instructions, rules and law applicable in his case.

In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
V.K. Shrivastava
Judge

9-11-06

(पीछे देखिये)